Muz 31]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1907

File No. 210
Serial No. 1-9
April 1908.

SUBJECT.

Alienation of the Inam lands attached to Lankapati Mahkam in the Hire Chowki Village, Sorab Taluk.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 210-07

SUBJECT.

In re the alienation of certain Inam lands in the Khate of Lankapati Makan in the Hirechawti Village, Sorab Taluk

FROM

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

No. 48 Dated 28/29 January 1908.

Lankapati Makan in the Village of Hire-chawti in the Sorab Taluk is a Muzrai institution endowed with the marginally-noted Inam lands.

no. 84.	a Cy. H-14	assessment 12-8-0.
" 152 (Khalsa)	4-4	15-8-0.

Kalifh Burdan Sha, Makandar of the said Makan, petitioned this office praying for the restoration of certain Inam lands said to have been ~~alleged~~ alienated from the Makan to enable him to effect certain improvements to the institution.

(Slip A)

The petition was forwarded

for report to the Dy. Commissioner, Shimoga District, and that officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the Vernacular records bearing on the subject.

From the Vernacular records, it is observed as follows:—

In the mahazar dated the 22nd August 1904, it is stated that both Budan Sha and Nadar Sha are enjoying the 2 Survey numbers of the makan, and paying kandayam, that about the year 1886 or so, the portion of Inam land in the possession of Nadar Sha was in the enjoyment of one Imam Sha, and, after (his) death, his son Jamma, after Jamma, his uncle's son Bhakir Sab, and after Bhakir Sab, his son Nadar Sha (the occupant of one-half of the entire Inam lands). (Slip B)

In the extract of the Inam Register of lands (S. no. 84) endowed to the makan, it is mentioned that the Inam is enjoyed by (1) Rooden Sha ½ (Slip C)

uz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

and (2) Dadda jamman Sha (½).

(Slip D) The Amildar reports that the makan building has come down; that the cost of repairs is estimated at Rs 150/; that the only services conducted therein are Urus and Moharam during which Buje, Santarpane are conducted. He further states that Budan Sha deposed that if the lands in the possession of Nasar Sha were given over to him, he would get the necessary repairs executed, and that Nasar Sha deposed that the repairs might be executed by Govt, that he would pay ½ of the total cost of repairs and that if he failes to do so, ~~one half~~ of the Inam lands in his possession might be brought under attachment.

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

The Deputy Commissioner reports that an enquiry was ordered to be made in the matter, in the course of which it was found that the lands were in the enjoyment of both the families to which Budan Sha and Madar Sha belonged, ever since the time of their grand fathers, that the lands were held by both families jointly on condition of their maintaining the institution and that consequently the allegation that Madar Sha has unauthorizedly obtained possession of the lands was unsustainable. He further states that he therefore ordered that Madar Sha's possession of the land could not be disturbed and that

if Budan Sha has any rights adverse to Nadar Sha, he might establish the same in a competent court and that the lands should be placed under attachment until the necessary repairs were done to the makam. He adds that the order of attachment has been kept in abeyance pending the disposal of the appeal.

Budan Sha has appealed to Govt. against the foregoing orders. He states that Nadar Sha's enjoyment of the Inam lands is unauthorized and prays that he may be placed in possession of the entire lands - certain copies of court judgments have been filed with the appeal.

Submitted.

GPB 43,000 - 22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

The Lunkapati makkan in Chicka Chowki village, Sorab Taluk is a Muzrai institution. Inam lands were granted first in the year 1788 by Nazir Dewan Narasappaiya & Mohomed Hyder. At the Inam Settlement in 1873 the management was entrusted to the makkan for its support and the lands were shown as the time is being enjoyed by one Bandari Sira and another Doddajanan Sira in equal proportions.

The management of the makkan has been in the hands of Bandari Sira who is reported to be consulting the Taluka, Peishkar-Tahsildars, the Amins & the Monigars. One half of the inam lands is in his possession while the other half is in the enjoyment of the Doddajana and these two men are

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

paying the Govt. dues in equal shares. Madar Sha tried to establish his claim to manage the makkan but the claim was rejected by the Munsiff of Sagar in April 1898 and this decision was upheld by the Sub Judge of Shimoga on appeal in 1899.

Budan Sha petitioned the Dy Commr. praying that the portion of the inam lands enjoyed by Madar Sha might be resumed and restored to him to enable him to repair the makkan. The Dy Commr. ordered in June 07 that both Budan Sha and Madar Sha should repair the makkan within a time to be allowed to them and that if they failed to do so, the lands should be attached and managed by Govt.

Budan Sha has appealed to Govt against the Dy Commr's order and [illegible]

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

that as Manager of the Mackkan he will himself execute the necessary repairs if the Devadaya inam land enjoyed by Madan Sha is restored to the Mackkan and placed in his possession.

There is no doubt that the inam is intended for the support of the Mackkan and therefore it goes with the institution. Madan Sha cannot be allowed to enjoy the inam without rendering any service. Before however ordering the resumption of the inam from Madan Sha and restoring it to Bhadan Sha, it is proper to decide to which of the Madan Sha it is to be done. This is to be done.

Issues at the 2nd Committee

319 --

S. Krishna Sastri
8. 2. 1908

Approved
W.M.
11/2/08

Dft Submtd.
AD 08 11/2/08

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

Note continues.

The Dy Commr who was written to on the subject has submitted the statement of Madar Sha together with a copy of the Assistant Commissioner's letter thereon

Madar Sha states that as he has been in possession of his share of the Inam land from time immemorial and as he has been managing the affairs of the makan jointly with Budan Sha, he is not willing To part with the land.

(Slip in)

(Paper under disposal)

The Asstt. Commr states that in his inspection he found the makan in a state of disrepair owing To long neglect on the part of the enjoyers

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

of the Inam lands who have therefore been called upon to repair the building within a period of 6 months on penalty of the resumption of the Inam lands, and that the cultivators of the lands have also as a precautionary measure, been directed to withhold payment of Kuta to the managers. He further reports that as there is no harmony between the 2 persons, it is unlikely they will comply with the terms of the notice and is of opinion that this will therefore necessitate the resumption of the Inam lands & other arrangements being made for the management of the Mahan

Submitted.

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. , of 190

Muzrai Secretary's remarks.

Under the circumstances reported the action taken by the Dy Comm^r may be approved. Both the Budan Sha and Madar Sha must come to some amicable settlement and conduct the affairs of the Makkan satisfactorily and renovate it within 6 months already given. In default, the Makkan & its mans will be taken over by Govt and managed.

The Dy Comm^r and the parties may, if approved, be informed as above.

Submitted 8/4/08

Thanjavur Sivan Rao
8/4/08

Subject to the 2nd ½

Approved. The joint responsibility of both should be enforced

Draft accepted 8/4/08 Dft submitted 8/4/08

8/4/08

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

539
2/8/07

Irregularities
by Builder that
we learnt
Call for explanation
with records
2/8/07

A.V.

మేత్రీ భుం రు. శి. చే. ంయు. మయు నా రా
ంయు. ంయు లాం నాం శిక్ర చేరి. ఛాం టి గో
ఖు దయుం శ రా శ గు నా రాం బు ధీ ——

శ వ చేయు రా గ్రా శ్రీ త్రే చే శ్రీ రాం శ ంయు శ రాం ల్ల
ఆ ని శ చే. ల్రీ రాం శ ళ్ళు శి శి బే వ ళ సా గ్రా ము
ద ల్లా - ంయు రు వ ల ం కై రా ఖ శి. మ కై ళ్ళ ధీ రాం
శ ళ్ళ కి ర్ బు లి శ్రీ ఖు ర ంలో ళ్ళ ఖు శ ర ము కై రాం ంథ్ర
ఆ ం ని ర్ గా - -

నా ంస్ప ఖ ం శ్రీ య కై రాం ంథ్ర ఖు ర్ ధ్రీ ళ్ళ ం ంథ్ర ఖు
వ శ కై రా లు ద ల ం రా రాం ంయు శ్రీ ర్ప ము ర్ప యు ద శ్రీ
ళు రాం శ్ర్ధీ ం ద రాం ఖు. యుం ల ం శ్రీ రాం ధ్ర కై శ్రీ మ కై ళ్ళ
ని ళ్ళో ధీ మాం శ్రీ ధ్ర ర శ రాం ని ం యు. ళ్ళ నా ం రాం శ్రీ
రాం ని ం యు. భు వ మాం రు ఖం - ళం ం వ రు
ళ్ళో ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ం రాం ం యు ర్ప ఖాం ఖు. యుం ధీ
శ్రీ శ గ వం శ్రీ య శ నా ం ద్ర నా ం గు ళ్ళ ఖు ఆ ఆ గు ళ్ళ
వి గు శ్రీ ళ్ళ ఖు, మ కై ళ్ళో ఆ రాం శ్రీ ధీ. శ ధ్ర ల్.
నా ం ద ళ్ళ ని ధీ ధీ మాం శ్రు శ రాం ల్ల యు రు ళ్ళో ళ్ళ
ఖు ంయుం ళ్ళ కై శ్రీ ని ళ్ళ ధీ ళ్ళ ఖు శ నా ం ద నం ళ్ళ శ్రీ రాం
శ గ మ ర్ప శ్రీ ం ళ్ళ నం ళ్ళ ఆ శ్రీ ళ్ళ ఖు ళ్ళ గ్రా ధీ
ఖు మ వ రా ం ల ద ల్లా నం మ్ప వం శ ళ్ళ రు ళ్ళో కై
న శ రాం ని యు రు వా రాం శ్రీ ధీ ఆ శ్రీ ధీ న ళ్ళ ద గ్రా -
నా ం శ్రీ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ల లా - ధీ ం ధ్రు ఖు ం రు
శ్రీ గ్రా ం ంథ్ర ఖు వ ర ఖ ళ్ళ రు ఖ్వా ళ్ళ ం ం ధ్రా
ం ం ద రాం ళ్ళ న మ ం ం ద ఖు ం యు ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ధీ ——

3. ధ్ర ద శ్రీ ఖు రాం ర చాం ంయు ం ంథ్ర వ ళ్ళు నా ం మ్ప
వం శ ళ్ళ ర్ప ఖు ర్ప. యుం ల ం రాం శ్రీ ధీ మ కై ళ్ళ
ని ళ్ళో ంయు ం వ వి ళ్ళ ం యు ద ల్లా ంయు. భు ం వ మాం
ధ్ర ప ఖు వ శ రాం ని ం యు రు వ వి ల్లా ——
యు ం ఖు ప్రాం ం ర ద్ధ వ ఖు ం న శ్రీ ధీ ళ్ళ ం యు గా
ఖు ర్ప 1897 - 98 ఖ్రీ ళ్ళ ఖు 18 ధీ నా ళ్ళ ళ్ళ ళ్ళ వ ర్ప గా
రు ళ్ళ

Dft as per orders

Sl 2

Muz. 4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 777, Encl 11

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 539 dated 2nd August 1907 received at this Office from Kerliff Busan Sha, makkadar of Lakkapati makkan in Hirechauti Grama, in the Sorab Taluk praying that certain Inam lands alienated from the makkan may be restored to him, in view to effect improvements to the irrigation etc. is forwarded, together with its (6) enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon.

By Order,

Dft 7/7/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE, 3/9/07
19

Sent to the Press 4/9

1907. Sl 3

From M. NarainRao Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esquire, B A.
Secretary to the Government

of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysor
Muzrai Department.

Dated 4th December 1907.

Despatched

Received

General No. 254

No. 34

Enclosure No. 2 files

With reference to his No.777 dated 10th August 1907 forwarding petition for Kaliff Budan Sha for report, states that the lands were in the enjoyment of both the families to which Budan Sha and Madar Sha belonged and the lands were held by both families jointly on condition of supporting the institution.



Shimoga District

No. 254 Ex 2 files / 34

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Shimoga, Dated 6th December 1907.

From

M. Narain Rao Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao. Esquire, B. A.,

Secretary to the Government of

H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No.777 dated 10th August 1907 forwarding for report a petition from Kaliff Budan Sha, Makandar of Lankapati Makan in the village of Hire-Chowti in the Sorob Taluk praying that certain Inam lands alienated from the Makan may be restored to him, I have the honor to state that on the receipt of ~~your~~ a petition in this Office from the said Budan Sha on 12th February 1903 stating that one Madar Sha had unauthorizedly obtained possession of a portion of the lands endowed to the Makan, an enquiry was ordered to be made, in the course of which it was found that the lands were in the enjoyment of both the families to which Budan Sha and Madar Sha belonged, ever since the time of their grand-fathers, that the lands were held by both families jointly on condition of their maintaining the institution and that consequently the allegation that Madar Sha had unauthorizedly obtained possession of the land was unsustainable. I therefore ordered that Madar Sha's possession of the land could not be disturbed, that if Budan Sha had any rights adverse to Madar Sha either to the lands belonging to the Makan or to the management thereof, he might establish the same in a competent Court and that the lands belonging to the Makan should be placed under attachment until the necessary repairs were done to the Makan.

The order as to the attachment of the Inam land, has, however, on a representation from Budan Sha, been kept in abeyance pending the disposal of the present appeal.

The petition and its enclosures received with the memo under reply are herewith returned together with the vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject ending with the Sagar Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 504 dated 4th November 1907.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

A.H. Shimoga District.

Sl 14

Mad 1 vj

190

From

To

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in mysore

Dated

Despatched 9/1/08

Received

General No. 3 [illegible]

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the marginally-noted Inam lands for the up-keep of the Lankapati makan in the village of Hire-chawti in the Sorab Taluk may be kindly forwarded to this office for reference.

GPB—3,000—21-7-08

By order

9/1/08

Secretary

Survey no.	a. g	assessment
84	4-14	12-8-0
152	7-7	15-8-0.

in Chicheha chawti Village of Anavatti Hobli in the Sorab Taluk.

No. 78

Inam Superintendent's office
Camp Sagar dated 23rd/27th January 190

SC 5

From,

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore,

To,

The Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore, Inmzrai
Department.

Sir,

As requested in your docket No. 3032 dated 9th January 1908, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register of lands granted for the up-keep of Lankapati Inakon in the Hirechowti village, Sorab Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

N. Narasiah.
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
30103
28. NOV
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Register sheet No 46.

Village = Chicka Chowky

Summutti = Anawatti

Talook = Sorabe.

Copy of the

Register ~~of Inams~~ in the village of 6

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALU

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Note

There is no record of extent of Sirkar lands in the village the scale is divided from the old & present Beriz, the dry being included in the survey figures on account of the Jathinah ankaloo lands - see Proceedings No 17 dated 13th February 1871, The beriz Putty of 1832 (Rawdoma) is adopted, being the most reliable extent. See Taluk officials' certificate.

Old beriz of Sirkar lands.

wet 158 Pagodas 9 11/16 fanams.

Survey assessment on sirkar lands

wet - 611

Dry - 91

702.

1	Devadaya Survey No 87		Survey Dry 1.98 wet 9.62	11.60

asper account

1802

K - K - P

0 - 1 - 8

Title Deed No 37313

Date of prepn 1-1-75

Date of issue 30-1-75

To Taluk

of Amadti in the Taluk of Sorab.

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
	As per Jamabandi of 1870/71 Sist Paty. Total bake Total Dry 1-12-7 0-15-0 2-11-7 0-10-5 3-6-0 wet 340-11-8 202-11-1 543-6-5 56-11-1 600-1-6 603-7-6.		
	The scale is 1 fanam = 4-7-1 (1 Pago = 4-6-10.)		
3 Pagodas = 11-5-6	Survey 0-12 27-4 28- "	For the support of the Makam of Lankapati, in the village In good order	Sirkar 9-2-10 = P. J. V 2-4-4

DOCUMENT IN S

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
— — —	One Jabed dated 1788 from Ra Divans Rarasappiah & Mar -unnad Hyder confirming th Inam of Boodenshah, Valued 3 Pagodas, in the village of Chitka Chowti.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Jarry account 1808 Muhammadha, 5 3/4 fanams 1802 do west scale 0-1-10 = 1-54 P 3-0-0 account of 1802 Faquir	1832 Faqueers 17 fanams Total 1858 Booddun Shaw Cottar 2-2-8 Sirkar 9-2-10 List 11-5-6 west No 7.
	K.K.P P.Y.V west 0-1-8 3-0-0 Shist Sarkar 1-6-8 Ast 1-3-8	Chittah's 1857-67 Boodan shah Cottar 2-2-8 Sirkar 9-3-10 11-5-6.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Chicka Chowdi Lankapati Inaka Villagers manage The Inam is enjoyed by 1 Booden Shah 1/2 2 Dodda Jammadi Shah 1/2 who support the Mutt.	— do —	— do —	— do —

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION	
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner~~
19	~~20~~

Boodru shah, on behalf of Imamshah, disputed the right of No 1, but it was upheld in Sagar sub-D assistant superintendent's Court decree No 6 of 1866-7 (Rev: appeal), and by the superintendent of Sagar, in endorsement No 790 dated in Decree 1866, By endorsure No 5046 dated 9th March 1867, the secy referred No 2 to this Department on the subject. The decrees of the 2 Courts above referred to cannot be disturbed summarily No 2 denied his right from Imamshah, who transferred it to No 2 see Muktiyarnama filed with the statement. His right was upheld by Amildar's decree in O.S. No 7 of 1856,

The Inam amount inclusive of present payment is

3 Pagodas at 4-6-10 = 13-4-6
10 % = - - - 1-5-3
14-9-9 or Rs 14-10-0

The proportionate area is 6.06. The rest is excess.

To be confirmed, so long as services are performed, & the institution is properly maintained on present payment 9-2-10

excess — 13-6-0
22-8-10 or Rupees 23/ Compulsory.

24-11-71 /Signed/ [illegible] Asst

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by Inam Commissioner

20

Confirmed.

/Signed/ Robt. Cole.
Supt. of Inam settlement in Mysore.

1-2-73

1. Valid under Rule 1.
2. Accg. to the account of 1802 this Inam is part of a grant the remainder being in Hire chandi. But no such Inam is in existence vide account of 1808 according to which this is a single grant.
3. Vargdar & Inamdar are identical. Para 2 of Proces 30.
4. To be confirmed under Rule V. on present jody or Rupees 9-3-0

/Signed/ V. N. Narasim Iyengar

27-10-74

1. D. J cultivated from II.
2. Land K. C. P. 4-1-8 at Rs 11-5-6

Confirmed existing jody Rs. 9-3-0

/Signed/ J. Puckle
Offg. Inam Supt.

22-12-74

Compd.
Bangalore Camp Sagar
dated 23rd/27th January 1908

"Copy"
Supt. of Inam settlt. in Mysore

Dft as per his orders

To, Sl 6

The Dy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

21/-

3822D/2.3.05

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 254/34 dated 4th December 1904 reporting on the appeal petition of Kaliff Burau Sha, Makkana of Lankapati makkan in the Village of Hire-chowti in the Sorab Taluk, that the makkan lands were in the enjoyment of both the families to which Burau Sha and Narain Sha belonged, and that the lands were held by both families jointly on condition of supporting the institution, I am directed to observe ~~from the Vernacular records received herewith~~, that the management of the makkan has been in the hands of Burau Sha who is kept

to be conducting the Poojas and the Moharram. One-half of the Devadaya Inam lands is in his possession while the other half is in the enjoyment of Mavan Sha and these two men are paying the Govt dues in equal shares Buvan Sha contends that as manager of the Makkan he will himself execute the necessary repairs to the institution if the portion of the Devadaya Inam land enjoyed by Mavan Sha is restored to the makkan and placed in his possession

2) I am directed to state that there is no doubt that the Inam is intended for the support of the makkan and that therefore it goes with the institution. Mavan Sha

Cannot be allowed to enjoy the Inam without rendering any service Before, however directing the resumption of the Inam from Nadar Sha and restoring it to Sudan Sha, I am to request that you will be good enough to call upon Nadar Sha to show cause why it should not be done and report the result in due course

3) The vernacular ~~notes~~ are herewith returned for rep. and re-submission

I have etc.,

(his order) 20/2/04

Secretary.

4/3/08

SC 7

1908



Early

Mhar

Please continue note — enf

by 30/3/08.

Submitd.
AV
1/4/08.

From M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To L. Ananthasami Rao Esquire
B.A.
Secretary to Government
Memprol Department

Dated 26th March 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 416

No 66

Enclosure No. 2 + file

Adverting to his letter No 3822 dated 2nd March 1908. forwards the Statement of Imadar Shageen before the Assistant Commissioner, Sagar Sub-Division together with copy of the Assistant Commissioners letter No 297 dated 16th March 1908

416/06 En 24 file Dy

Shimoga Dist
26th March 1908

From:

M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to Government
Mamgroi Department

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 3822 dated 2nd March 1908 requesting to call upon Moadar Sha of the Lankapati Matham in the village of Hirechowti in the Sorab Taluk, to show cause why the Inam lands enjoyed by him should not be resumed from him, I have the honour to forward herewith the Statement of Moadar Sha given before the Assistant Commissioner, Sagar Sub Division together with a copy of the Assistant Commissioner's letter No. 297 dated 16th March 1908.

2 The vernacular records are herewith resubmitted

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

1908

From.

N. Subba Rao Esquire B.A
Assistant Commissioner
Sagar Sub-Division

To: Mr. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga

Dated 16th March 1908

General No. 297

Reference:—

Your memo No. 2988 dated 7th Instant, forwarding for compliance Mowroy Secretary's letter No. 3822 dated 2nd March 1908, regarding the Inam lands of Lankaspathi Mathan in the Sorab Taluk:—

As required in the above, I have the honour to state that Meadar Shaw has been duly examined as to why the share of the Inam land enjoyed by him should not be taken away from him and handed over to the person who looks after the management of the Mathan; and his statement is enclosed for information. In his statement, it is alleged that, as he has been in possession of his share of the land from time immemorial, and as he has been managing the affairs of the Mathan jointly with Budan Shaw, he is not willing to relinquish the land.

In my inspection I found the Mathan in a state of disrepair owing to long neglect on the part of the enjoyers of the Inam lands who have therefore been called on to repair the building within a period of 6 months on penalty of the Inam lands being resumed.

The cultivators Inam land lands also,
so as a precautionary measure been directed
to withhold payment of Sutta to the managers.

As there is no harmony between the
two persons, it is unlikely, they will comply
with the terms of the notice. This will therefore
necessitate the resumption of the Inam lands,
and other arrangements being made for the
management of the Dasthan.

The file of vernacular records bearing
on the subject is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir, &c
(Signed) N Subba Rao
Assistant Commissioner

Copy

Dalmatad
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Inc

Dft as per orders
Murugai

2.28/4 Jud F 21.07.8

Read;

Petition registered no. 539 dated 2nd August 1907 from Kaliff Budan Sha makkandar of the Lankapet makkan at Nere-chinti, Sorab Taluk, praying for the restoration of certain inam lands alienated from the makkan to enable him to effect certain improvements to the insti-

Letter no 48 dated 22nd January 1908 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in reply forwarding the copy of the Inam register of lands granted for the up-keep of the said makkan

And; Correspondence ending with letter no H16/66

6 March 1908

From the Dy. Commr.,
Shimoga Distt. reporting
on the subject

Order thereon re Dr

The Lakkapatna
Makkan in Heie-chowki
Village, Sorab Taluk, is
a mutt institution
Inam lands were granted
to it in the year 1788
by Nagar Dewan Nara-
Sappiah and Hyder Ali.
At the Inam settlement
in 1873 the inam was con-
firmed to the Makkan
for its support and the
lands were shown at
the time as being enjoyed
by one Budan Shi ...
another Nadt Shet
in equal proportions.

by

In regard to the petition of Kaliff Busan Sha read above complaining of the alienation of the makan lands, the Dy Commr. reports that in the course of ~~an enquiry~~ enquiry it was found that the lands were in the enjoyment of both the families to which Busan Sha and Mazan Sha belonged, even since the time of their grand-fathers; - that the lands were - held by both the families jointly on condition of their maintaining the institution and that consequently the allegation that Mazan Sha has

had unauthorised.

obtained possession of the land was unsustainable. He therefore ordered that Madan Sha's possession of the lands could not be disturbed, that if Budan Sha had any rights adverse to Madan Sha either to the lands belonging to the makkan or to the management thereof, he might establish the same in a competent Court and that pending the disposal of the petition of Budan Sha, the lands belonging to the makkan should be placed under attachment until the necessary repairs were executed to

the makkan.

(3) On a subsequent communication from this office, Marar Sha is reported to ~~say~~ have stated that as he has been in possession of his share of the inam land from time immemorial and as he has been managing the affairs of the makkan jointly with Bursan Sha, he is not willing to part with the land.

(4) It is further reported that the Asst. Commr during his inspection, found the makkan in a state of disrepair owing to long neglect on the part of the enjoyers of the Inam land; that the latter

have therefore been called upon to repair the building within a period of 6 months on penalty of the resumption of the Inam lands and that the cultivators of the Inam lands have also as a precautionary measure, been directed to withhold payment of Rent pattah to the managers. It is also reported that there is no harmony between the 2 persons, that it is unlikely that they will comply with the terms of the notice.

(5) Under the circumstances reported.

SL 9

The Govt are pleased to approve of the action taken by the Dy. Commr. Both Budan Sha and Mazan Sha must come to some amicable settlement and conduct the affairs of the Makkan satisfactorily and renovate it within the 6 months time already given. The joint responsibility of both should be enforced. In default, the Makkan and its lands will be taken under Govt management

Secs. noted 23/4/08

Secretary 24/4/08

To, The Dy. Commr, Shimoga

Dist. retg. record

Mohs. Budan Sha

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

GPB—1,000—28-9-07

Dear Sir

Please find enclosed herewith a cheque for Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees one thousand only) in favour of Comptt. Madan Sha. Kindly acknowledge the receipt of the same.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

23/4/08

No. 4/824

Comptt Madan Sha.

BO

Leg

Marwaha